DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE 18th DECEMBER 2023

Case No: 23/01328/LBC

- Proposal: CHANGE OF USE OF MONTAGU HOUSE FROM CURRENT E CLASS. REVERSION TO ORIGINAL BUILT INTENT (C3 DOMESTIC) TO PROVIDE 3 SELF CONTAINED DOMESTIC DWELLINGS, WITH EACH DWELLING TO HAVE OWN OFF ROAD PARKING, AND GARDEN AMENITY SPACE.
- Location: 81 HIGH STREET HUNTINGDON

Applicant: WARNER PLANNING

Grid Ref: 523582 272124

Date of Registration: 17th JULY 2023

Parish: HUNTINGDON

RECOMMENDATION - REFUSE

This application is referred to the Development Management Committee (DMC) because the Officer recommendation is contrary to the Town Council recommendation.

1. DESCRIPTION OF SITE AND APPLICATION

Site and Surroundings

1.1 Montagu House, 81 High Street is a Grade II Listed Building and is located within the Huntingdon Conservation Area. No's 76, 77, 78 and 79 Ermine Street are Grade II Listed Buildings immediately to the north across the street. The site is located within the Huntingdon Neighbourhood Plan area and within the Air Quality Management Area. The site is located within the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 (medium probability) within the Huntingdonshire SFRA (2017). There are no other constraints.

Proposal

1.2 This application seeks approval for the change of use of Montagu House, 81 High Street, Huntingdon from Use Class E to three selfcontained dwellings and associated works. The proposal would provide a six-bedroom dwelling (Unit A) and two, two-bedroom dwellings (Units B and C). The associated works involve the provision of off-street car parking and amenity space for each dwelling. The proposal involves the installation of two dormer windows on the south-west elevation roofslope and the installation of two velux windows on the north-east elevation roofslope. The proposal also involves the erection of a 2m high brick wall to demarcate Unit A and C's private amenity spaces and the parking area.

- 1.3 This application is an amended scheme following the withdrawal of a previous application (reference 23/00508/LBC).
- 1.4 The Local Planning Authority is also considering a full planning application of the same proposal (23/01327/FUL).
- 1.5 Officer gave the applicant an opportunity to provide correct drawings, the applicant stated that they have decided to not prepare or submit any additional information, as they believe that there is sufficient information already available to the Local Planning Authority to approve the applications at Montagu House.
- 1.6 Officers have scrutinised the plans and have familiarised themselves with the site and surrounding area.
- 1.7 The application is supported by the following documents;
 - Design and Access Statement;
 - Heritage Statement
 - Flood Risk Assessment;
 - Biodiversity checklist;
 - Structural Inspection Report;
 - Air Quality Assessment; and
 - A Unilateral Undertaking relating to wheeled bins

2. NATIONAL GUIDANCE

- 2.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (5th September 2023) (NPPF 2023) sets out the three objectives economic, social and environmental of the planning system to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. The NPPF 2021 at paragraph 10 provides as follows: 'So that sustainable development is pursued in a positive way, at the heart of the Framework is a presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraph 11).
- 2.2 The NPPF 2023 sets out the Government's planning policies for (amongst other things):
 - delivering a sufficient supply of homes;
 - building a strong, competitive economy;
 - achieving well-designed, beautiful and safe places;
 - conserving and enhancing the natural, built and historic environment

2.3 Planning Practice Guidance and the National Design Guide 2021 are also relevant and material considerations.

For full details visit the government website National Guidance

3. PLANNING POLICIES

- 3.1 Huntingdonshire's Local Plan to 2036 (Adopted 15th May 2019)
 - LP11: Design Context
 - LP12: Design Implementation
 - LP34: Heritage Assets and their Settings
- 3.2 Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD) and Guidance:
 - Huntingdonshire Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document (2017):
 - Developer Contributions SPD (2011)
 - Huntingdonshire Landscape and Townscape Assessment (2007)
 - Cambridgeshire Flood and Water SPD 2017
 - Huntingdonshire Tree Guidance Note 3
 - Annual Monitoring Report Part 1 (Housing) 2019/2019 (October 2019)
 - Annual Monitoring Report Part 2 (Non- Housing) 2018/2019 (December 2019)
 - RECAP CCC Waste Management Design Guide (CCC SPD) 2012
- 3.4 The National Design Guide (2021)
 - * C1 Understand and relate well to the site, its local and wider context
 - * I1 Respond to existing local character and identity
 - * I2 Well-designed, high quality and attractive
 - * B2 Appropriate building types and forms

*M3 - Well-considered parking, servicing and utilities infrastructure for all users

* H1 - Healthy, comfortable and safe internal and external environment

For full details visit the government website Local policies

Huntingdon Neighbourhood Plan 2018-2026 (September 2019):

- * Policy NE3 Setting of Huntingdon
- * Policy BE1 Design and Landscaping
- * Policy BE2 Local Distinctiveness and Aesthetics
- * Policy BE3 Heritage Assets

4. PLANNING HISTORY

- 4.1 Given the heritage of the property there is a wide planning history associated with it. The history from November 1974 onwards is available to view on HDC's Public Access Site. The most recent, relevant history is detailed below:
- 4.2 23/01327/FUL Change of use of Montagu House from current E Class. Reversion to original built intent (C3 Domestic) to provide 3 self-contained domestic dwellings, with each dwelling to have own off road parking, and garden amenity space - Pending consideration.
- 4.3 23/00508/LBC Change of Use of Montagu House from current E Class. Reversion to original built intent - C3 Domestic - to provide 3 self-contained domestic dwellings. Each dwelling to have own off-road parking, and garden amenity space - Withdrawn.
- 4.4 23/00507/FUL Change of Use of Montagu House from current E Class. Reversion to original built intent - C3 Domestic - to provide 3 self-contained domestic dwellings. Each dwelling to have own off-road parking, and garden amenity space - Withdrawn.

5. CONSULTATIONS

- 5.1 Huntingdon Town Council Recommend approve, no objections.
- 5.2 Conservation Team Object.

There are a number of plan irregularities and discrepancies. Some works are acceptable in principle but require amendments or will require conditions to ensure that the works are carried out correctly, however, these can only be drafted on receipt of an acceptable scheme. Whilst there may be scope for the subdivision of this building to form 3 dwellings the lack of accurate drawings is still unacceptable. Where features are missing from drawings the legal conclusion would be that they were being removed from the building, if this were the case these works would result in harm and would not be supported. The works to the roof of unit c are unacceptable in principle. There is insufficient accurate information to ensure that the Local Planning Authority is able to assess the proposals and meet their statutory duty to preserve the listed building and its setting, and have regard to the preservation and enhancement of the Huntingdon Conservation Area. The proposal is therefore not accordance with ss. 16, 66 & 72 of the Planning (LBCA) Act 1990, and policy LP 34 of the adopted Huntingdonshire Local Plan. The works are unacceptable.

6. **REPRESENTATIONS**

6.1 None received.

7. ASSESSMENT

- 7.1 When determining planning applications, it is necessary to establish what weight should be given to each plan's policies in order to come to a decision. The following legislation, government policy and guidance outline how this should be done.
- 7.2 As set out within the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (Section 38(6)) and the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (Section 70(2)) in dealing with planning applications the Local Planning Authority shall have regard to have provisions of the development plan, so far as material to the application, and to any other material considerations. This is reiterated within paragraph 47 of the NPPF (2021). The development plan is defined in Section 38(3)(b) of the 2004 Act as "the development plan documents (taken as a whole) that have been adopted or approved in that area".
- 7.3 In Huntingdonshire the Development Plan consists of:
 - Huntingdonshire's Local Plan to 2036 (2019)
 - Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Minerals and Waste Local Plan (2021)
- 7.4 The statutory term 'material considerations' has been broadly construed to include any consideration relevant in the circumstances which bears on the use or development of the land: Cala Homes (South) Ltd v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government & Anor [2011] EWHC 97 (Admin); [2011] 1 P. & C.R. 22, per Lindblom J. Whilst accepting that the NPPF does not change the statutory status of the Development Plan, paragraph 2 confirms that it is a material consideration and significant weight is given to this in determining applications.
- 7.5 The main issues to consider as part of this application are:
 - Impact on heritage areas

Impact on Heritage Assets

- 7.6 The property of Montagu House is a Grade II Listed Building and is located within the Huntingdon Conservation Area. No's 76, 77, 78 and 79 Ermine Street are Grade II Listed Buildings immediately to the north across the street.
- 7.7 Section 16 of the Planning (LBCA) Act 1990 states that in considering whether to grant listed building consent for any works, the Council is required to have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest, which it possesses.
- 7.8 Section 72 of the Planning (LBCA) Act 1990 states that special attention shall be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of a Conservation Area.
- 7.9 Para. 199 of the NPPF set out that 'When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance'. Para. 200 states that 'Any harm to, or loss of, the significance of a designated heritage asset (from its alteration or destruction, or from development within its setting), should require clear and convincing justification....'. The NPPF goes on to state that where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal including securing its optimum viable use.
- 7.10 Local Plan Policy LP34 aligns with the statutory provisions and NPPF advice.
- 7.11 Policy LP11 of the Local Plan states that proposals will be supported where it is demonstrated that they positively respond to their context and draw inspiration from the key characteristics of their surroundings, including the natural, historic and built environment. Policy LP12 of the Local Plan states that proposals will be supported where they contribute positively to the area's character and identity and where they successfully integrate with adjoining buildings, topography and landscape.
- 7.12 Section 12 of the NPPF (2021) seeks to achieve well-designed places, noting that the creation of high quality buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process

should achieve. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development.

- 7.13 The National Design Guide (2020) sets out the characteristics of well-designed places and demonstrates what good design means in practice. It covers the following: context, identity, built form, movement, nature, public spaces, uses, homes and buildings, resources and lifespan. Of particular note to the current proposals is guidance relating to design and how this understands and relates well to the site within its local and wider context, how the history of the place has evolved and that local sense of place and identity are shaped by local history, culture and heritage, how a proposal responds to existing local character and identity, whether proposals are well-designed, high quality and attractive and whether they are of an appropriate building type and form.
- 7.14 The HDC Design Guide 2017 is relevant to the application proposals, in particular (chapter 4 and sections 3.7 and 3.8). The guide states that the size, shape and orientation (the form) of a building can have a significant impact upon its surroundings. The form of new buildings should generally reflect traditional building forms found in Huntingdonshire. The scale, massing and height of proposed development should be considered in relation to that of adjoining buildings, the topography, pattern of heights in the area and views, vistas and landmarks.

The guide notes that with regard to building detailing, the district has various architectural styles and materials which reflects the local vernacular. It is noted that new buildings should be designed in harmony and proportional to each other, complimenting the overall street character of the place. Appropriate spaces between buildings helps to create an interesting streetscape. Detailed guidance is also provided relating to roofs, eaves and ridge lines and chimneys. With regard to materials, these should complement the successful parts of any surrounding developments in order to conserve or enhance the distinctive character of the various parts of the district and to ensure that buildings sit comfortably within the landscape.

- 7.15 The proposed development involves the change of use of the existing building of Montagu House from office space to three residential dwellings and associated works. The proposal involves the installation of two dormer windows on the south-west elevation roofslope and the installation of two velux windows on the north-east elevation roofslope. The proposal also involves the erection of a 2m high brick wall to demarcate Unit A and C's private amenity spaces and the parking area.
- 7.16 Following a review of the submitted plans and a site visit undertaken by the case officer and Council's Conservation Officer, it is concluded that there are significant and fundamental drawing discrepancies and errors - including but not limited to, missing

windows and chimney breasts, the alignment of the staircase in unit C and the attic area above unit C.

- 7.17 As such, it is considered that the plans have not been drawn correctly and therefore it can be argued that there is insufficient accurate information to ensure that the Local Planning Authority is able to assess the proposals appropriately and meet their statutory duty to preserve the listed building and its setting, and have regard to the preservation and enhancement of the Huntingdon Conservation Area.
- 7.18 While there are some matters and discrepancies that can be addressed by the implementation of suitably worded conditions, the absence of certain features such as the built in dresser in Unit B ground floor need to be clearly shown on the submitted plans to ensure that they are not being removed. The errors in the drawings are therefore considered to be fundamental and undermine the proposal.
- 7.19 There is also an objection from the Conservation Officer to the loss of the tie beam and lack of information submitted regarding the conversion of the attic (unit C). No details of the proposed cranked beams, roof insulation, doubling up of roof joists or detailed drawings of the dormers are provided. This information is considered necessary to fully consider the impact of the proposals and the works proposed to the roof of unit c. Although it is accepted that it may be structurally possible to convert the roof space, the Conservation Officer maintains that the current proposals will result in harm to the significance of the Listed Building through the loss of an original tie beam.
- 7.20 The proposed change of use would secure a long-term viable use for the listed building and this is considered a benefit of the scheme. Whilst certain aspects of the development such as the loss of the original tie beam is considered to be harmful, overall it is not possible to assess the potential impact of the development upon the heritage asset. In the absence of sufficient and correct information to evidence how the proposal would not result in harm, officers must therefore determine that the proposal would result in harm to the heritage asset. Such harm would not be outweighed by the benefits.
- 7.21 The plans submitted with the application have not been drawn accurately as there are significant and fundamental drawing errors. As the application is not supported by sufficient and accurate information, the Local Planning Authority is unable to assess the proposals appropriately and meet their statutory duty to preserve the listed building and its setting, and have due regard to the preservation and enhancement of the Huntingdon Conservation Area. In the absence of sufficient and correct information to evidence how the proposal would not result in harm, Officers must therefore determine that the proposal would result

in harm to the heritage asset. Whilst the proposed change of use would secure a long-term viable use for the listed building, this benefit would not outweigh the identified harm. As such, the proposed development is considered to be contrary to Sections 16 and 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, Policies LP11, LP12 and LP34 of the Huntingdonshire Local Plan to 2036, Policies BE1, BE2 and BE3 of the Huntingdon Neighbourhood Plan, the Huntingdonshire Design Guide SPD and Sections 12 and 16 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023).

Conclusion

- 7.22 The building in question is a Grade II Listed Building set within the Huntingdon Conservation Area. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to preserve the listed building and its setting, and have due regard to the preservation and enhancement of the Huntingdon Conservation Area.
- 7.23 The application is not supported by correct or sufficient information regarding the heritage asset. In the absence of sufficient and correct information to evidence how the proposal would not result in harm, officers must therefore determine that the proposal would result in harm to the heritage asset. Whilst the proposed change of use would secure a long-term viable use for the listed building, this benefit would not outweigh the identified harm.
- 7.24 Having regard to all relevant material considerations, it is concluded that the proposal would not accord with local and national planning policy. Therefore, it is recommended that listed building consent be refused.

8. **RECOMMENDATION - REFUSAL for the following reasons:**

1. The plans submitted with the application have not been drawn accurately as there are significant and fundamental drawing errors. As the application is not supported by sufficient and accurate information, the Local Planning Authority is unable to assess the proposals appropriately and meet their statutory duty to preserve the listed building and its setting, and have due regard to the preservation and enhancement of the Huntingdon Conservation Area. In the absence of sufficient and correct information to evidence how the proposal would not result in harm, Officers must therefore determine that the proposal would result in harm to the heritage asset. Whilst the proposed change of use would secure a long-term viable use for the listed building, this benefit would not outweigh the identified harm. As such, the proposed development is considered to be contrary to Sections 16 and 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, Policies LP11, LP12 and LP34 of the Huntingdonshire

Local Plan to 2036, Policies BE1, BE2 and BE3 of the Huntingdon Neighbourhood Plan, the Huntingdonshire Design Guide SPD and Sections 12 and 16 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023).

If you would like a translation of this document, a large text version or an audio version, please contact us on 01480 388424 and we will try to accommodate your needs

CONTACT OFFICER:

Enquiries about this report to **Lewis Tomlinson Senior Development** Management Officer – <u>lewis.tomlinson@huntingdonshire.gov.uk</u>

HUNTINGDON TOWN COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMENTS : 10th AUGUST 2023

21/02422/FUL

Erection of factory extension and creation of additional parking areas and associated works

3 Redwongs Way Huntingdon PE29 7HF

Deemed Approve.

Members noted the need for compliance and enforcement of all planning conditions to minimise impact on nearby residents.

23/01327/FUL

Change of use of Montagu House from current E Class. Reversion to original built intent (C3 Domestic) to provide 3 self contained domestic dwellings, with each dwelling to have own off road parking, and garden amenity space. 81 High Street Huntingdon

Recommend Approve. No objections.

23/01328/LBC

Change of use of Montagu House from current E Class. Reversion to original built intent (C3 Domestic) to provide 3 self contained domestic dwellings, with each dwelling to have own off road parking, and garden amenity space. 81 High Street Huntingdon

Recommend Approve. No objections.

23/01153/FUL

Change of use of the existing internal car parking area (Use Class Sui Generis) into a mixed office, storage, and distribution facility (Sui Generis). Dryden House St Johns Street Huntingdon PE29 3NU

Recommend Approve. No objections.

23/01400/HHFUL

Erection of ground floor extension, replace rear window and door with bifold door. 39 Hartford Road Huntingdon PE29 3RF

Recommend Approve. No objections.

Design and Conservation Consultation

Proposal: C/u to town houses and alterations to faci listed building		Location 81 High Street Huntingdon	
Dc case officer: MR	Conservation Officer: LB	Application Ref.No: 23/00507/FUL & 23/00508/LBC	

23/00507/FUL Planning Permission is sought for the change of use of 81 High Street Montagu House a grade II listed building located within the Huntingdon Conservation Area. The proposal is for the subdivision of the building to form 3 town houses. The impact on the significance of the listed building is a material consideration in the determination of this application.

23/00508/LBC Listed Building Consent is sought for a programme of works to facilitate the change of use of the building from offices to domestic. The building is to be subdivided to form 3 individual town houses.

Submitted drawings incl

Block plan revised parking	-		
Existing location plan	EX01	Proposed site plan	P01
Existing GF	EX02	Proposed GF	P02
Existing F and 2F Plan	EX03	Proposed first floor	P03
		Proposed second floor	P04
Existing roof Plan	Ex04	Proposed Roof Plan	P05
Existing front elevation	EX05	Proposed front elevation	P06
Existing side elevation	EX06	Proposed side elevation	P07
Existing rear elevation	EX07	Proposed rear elevation	P08
Existing side 2 elevation	EX08	Proposed side 2 elevation	P09

Following a site inspection it is evident that there are substantial errors in these drawings



I have superimposed P03 over P02 The attic above unit 3 occupies the entire length of the building the building the area highlighted in red is a discrepancy/ error

I have concerns about the alignment of the staircase proposed in unit 2, it appears to go through a chimney breast and is not straight, it will most likely breach the line of the roof in this location and may not be possible.

I have also looked at the plan for the second floor,



Highlighted in red The bedroom wall is not stepped in as shown, the chimney breast is missing and the dormer appears to be off centre rather than the position shown on the drawing.



Highlighted in blue opposite the entrance to bedroom 2 there is

a dormer – not shown on the plans

The errors in the drawings are fundamental and undermine the proposals. A listed building application that is incorrectly drawn can not be supported as it is unclear what the works are or their impact on the building.

Further concerns

The proposal to subdivide the building along the lines indicated may have some credibility if the drawings can acurately reflect the building.

I do have a fundamental concern that these drawings have not been drafted on the basis of building regulation advice and that there may be fundamental fire safety issues to consider which will have an impact on the fabric of the building. These may include the need for fire separation works and provision of safe fire escape egress. The intention to remove the existing fire escape stair may not be possible

I am also not convinced that the proposed new staircase in the living room to unit b is compliant with building regulations.

I am also concerned about the proposals for the bedroom area of unit 3. The plans are incorrect and fail to show a large tie beam at waist hight across the space or the raised area at the western end of the room



This is not an exhaustive list however without certainty or clarity of the proposals further assessment is not appropriate.

Support therefore can not be given to either the proposed change of use planning permission or the proposed listed building consent application.

Recommendation:. Do not support

There is insufficient accurate information to ensure that the Council is able to assess the proposals and meet their statutory duty to preserve the listed building and its setting, and have regard to the preservation and enhancement of the Huntingdon Conservation Area

The proposal is therefore not accordance with ss. 16, 66 & 72 of the Planning (LBCA) Act 1990, and policy LP

34 of the adopted Huntingdonshire Local Plan. The works are unacceptable.

For the avoidance of doubt this recommendation carries considerable weight in the determination of this application, this is a legal duty. Should the case officer consider that this recommendation should be altered then this application must be referred to the Planning Strategic Forum for senior officer consideration, this must include conservation representation.


Signed.....L.B Date: 7 june 2023


Development Management Committee

Scale = 1:899 Date Created: 05/12/2023 Application Ref: 23/01327/FUL and 23/01328/LBC



© Crown copyright and database rights 2023 Ordnance Survey HDC 100022322





1 : 1250

Site Plan 1:500

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only.	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT
All information should be checked by the				
contractor site before work.				Montagu House
Any discrepencies should be reported to the architect or designer immediatley. All				Montagu House
rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of				
this drawing are not permitted.				SHEET
Land registry title and ownership boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO				
using all reasonable endeavours. We cannot be held responsible for scale				Existing Location Plan
discrepency of plans supplied to us.				Existing Essation Flam



Location Plan

CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) As indicated	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	EX-01		





Proposed Site Plan 1:500

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only. All information should be checked by the	IONIC	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT	CLIENT	M. Smith	
contractor site before work. Any discrepencies should be reported to the architect or designer immediatley. All rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unsuthorised reproduction of					Montagu House	Date 19/07/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 500
transterable. Unauthorised reproduction of this drawing are not permitted. Land registry title and ownership boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We	DESIGN				SHEET	Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us,					Proposed Site Plan	Checked by SA	P-01	A

Key

Unit A
Unit B
Unit C
Bin Storage
Cycle Storage
Parking Spaces
New brick wall 2 metres high to delineate parking and amenity space



Existing Front Elevation 1:100

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only. All information should be checked by the		No.	Description	Date	PROJECT	
An information and an obtained are contracted by the contractor site before work. Any discrepencies should be reported to the architect or designer immediately. All rights are reserved and are not					-	Montagu House
transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of this drawing are not permitted. Land registry title and ownership	DESICN				SHEET	
boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We cannot be hold responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.						Existing Front Elevation

CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	EX-05		



Existing Rear Elevation 1:100

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only.	ΤΟΝΤΟ	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT
All information should be checked by the contractor site before work. Any discrepancies should be reported to the architect or designer immediatley. All rights are reserved and are not					Montagu House
rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of this drawing are not permitted. Land registry title and ownership	DESICN				SHEET
boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.					Existing Rear Elevation

CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	EX-07		




Existing Side Elevation

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only. All information should be checked by the	ΙΟΝΤΟ	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT	CLIENT	M. Smith	
Any discrepancies should be circlead by the contractor site before work. Any discrepancies should be reported to the architect or designer immediatey. All rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of					Montagu House	Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100
this drawing are not permitted. Land registry title and ownership boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO	DESIGN				SHEET	Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
using all reasonable endeavours. We cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.					Existing Side Elevation	Checked by SA	EX-06	



Existing Side 2 Elevation

scale from this drawing. Work to d dimensions only.	TONTO	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT	
nation should be checked by the or site before work.						Mantaguillausa
pencies should be reported to ct or designer immediatley. All						Montagu House
served and are not . Unauthorised reproduction of g are not permitted.					SHEET	
ry title and ownership are produced by IONIC STUDIO						
isonable endeavours. We eld responsible for scale of plans supplied to us.						Existing Side 2 Elevation



CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	EX-08		



Proposed Front Elevation 1:100

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only.	TONTO	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT	
All information should be checked by the contractor site before work. Any discrepencies should be reported to the architect or designer immediately. All						Montagu House
rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of this drawing are not permitted. Land registry title and ownership	DFC/CN				SHEET	
boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.						Proposed Front Elevation


CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	P-06		



Proposed Rear Elevation 1:100

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only.	TONTO	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT
All information should be checked by the contractor site before work. Any discrepencies should be reported to					Montagu House
the architect or designer immediatley. All rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of this drawing are not permitted.	ĎĔĊĬČ N				SHEET
Land registry title and ownership boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.	DESIGN				Proposed Rear Elevation

CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	P-08		



Proposed Side Elevation 1:100

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only.	ΤΟΝΤΟ	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT	
All information should be checked by the contractor site before work. Any discrepencies should be reported to the architect or designer immediatley. All	IONIC				_	Montagu House
rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of this drawing are not permitted.	DFCICN				SHEET	
Land registry title and ownership boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.	DESIGN					Proposed Side Elevation

CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	P-07		



Proposed Side 2 Elevation




Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only. All information should be chacked by the contractor at before work. Any discrepancies should be reported to the architect or designer immediately. All informations and are not been the device of this drawing are not permitted. Land registry tite and ownership boundaries are produced by (MIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We contend to the device of the architect or designer immediately. All informations and the notice of the device of the device. The device of the de



Existing windows to be blocked up


CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 13/03/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	P-09		



Existing Ground Floor 1:100

Bo not scale from this drawing. Work to fugure dimensions only.
Information should be checked by the checked b

CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 21/06/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER	·	REV
Checked by SA	EX-02		A



Any discrepencies should be reported to the architect or designer immediately. All	contractor site before work.
rights are received and are not	the architect or designer immediatley. All



	Date	Description
Montagu House		
Existing First & Second Floor		

Existing Roof Plan

1:100

>

 \mathbb{N}

Do not scale form this drawing. Work to

Micromation should be checked by the

Any discrepancies should be reported to the checked by the checked by the checked or designer immediate/. Any discrepancies should be reported to the architect or designer immediate/. Any discrepancies checked or designe








Proposed Ground Floor


1:100



KeyImage: Constraint of the second sec

CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 21/06/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	P-02		A






Proposed First Floor 1:100

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only. All information should be checked by the	ΙΟΝΙΟ	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT		CLIENT	M. Smith		
contractor site before work. Any discrepencies should be reported to the architect or designer immodiatey. All rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of					-	Montagu House	Date 21/06/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
transferable. Unauthorised reproduction or this drawing are not permitted. Land registry title and ownership boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We	DESIGN				SHEET	Designed and Electric	Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.]	Proposed First Floor	Checked by SA	P-03		A

Key

	Unit A
	Unit B
	Unit C
	Door infilled
	New partition wall / door - Unit C. New Staircase opening Unit B
-	Staircase to be Re-instated
=======	Firedoors retained





Proposed Second Floor 1:100

Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only.	TONTO	No.	Description	Date	PROJECT	
All information should be checked by the contractor site before work. Any discrepencies should be reported to						Montagu House
the architect or designer immediately. All rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of this drawing are not permitted.						5
Land registry title and ownership boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO using all reasonable endeavours. We					SHEET	
cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.						Proposed Second Floor

Key	
	Unit A
	Unit B
	Unit C
	Door / Windows infilled
	New partition wall / door

CLIENT	M. Smith		
Date 21/06/23	Project number P054	Scale (@ A3) 1 : 100	
Drawn by SA	DRAWING NUMBER		REV
Checked by SA	P-04		A

	Proposed Roof P 1 : 100	lan		
Do not scale from this drawing. Work to figured dimensions only. All information should be checked by the contractor site before work. Any discrepencies should be reported to the architect or designer immediately. All rights are reserved and are not transferable. Unauthorised reproduction of this drawing are not permitted. Land registry tile and ownership boundaries are produced by IONIC STUDIO	IONIC DESIGN	No. Description	Date PROJECT	Montagu House
using all reasonable endeavours. We cannot be held responsible for scale discrepency of plans supplied to us.				Proposed Roof Plan

